A New Family of Cinchona-Derived Bifunctional Asymmetric Phase-Transfer Catalysts: Application to the Enantio- and Diastereoselective Nitro-Mannich Reaction of Amidosulfones

2012 Vol. 14, No. 10 2492–2495

ORGANIC LETTERS

Kayli M. Johnson,[†] Matt S. Rattley,[†] Filippo Sladojevich, David M. Barber, Marta G. Nuñez, Anna M. Goldys, and Darren J. Dixon^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, U.K.

darren.dixon@chem.ox.ac.uk

Received March 26, 2012

ABSTRACT



A new family of bifunctional H-bond donor phase-transfer catalysts derived from cinchona alkaloids has been developed and evaluated in the enantio- and diastereoselective nitro-Mannich reaction of in situ generated *N*-Boc-protected imines of aliphatic, aromatic, and heteroaromatic aldehydes. Under optimal conditions, good reactivity and high diastereoselectivities (up to 24:1 dr) and enantioselectivities (up to 95% ee) were obtained using a 9-amino-9-deoxyepiquinidine-derived phase-transfer catalyst possessing a 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenylurea H-bond donor group at the 9-position.

For an efficient, enantioselective union of enolizable pronucleophiles to reactive electrophiles such as imines and

(2) For recent reviews, see: (a) Hashimoto, T.; Maruoka, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5656–5682. (b) Ooi, T.; Maruoka, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4222–4226.

Michael acceptors, two particularly successful classes of catalysts are bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor organocatalysts¹ and asymmetric phase-transfer (APT)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Marcelli, T.; Hiemstra, H. Synthesis 2010, 1229–1279. (b) Takemoto, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2010, 58, 593–601. (c) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; López, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 632–653. (d) Connon, S. J. Synlett 2009, 354–376. (e) Connon, S. J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2499–2510. (f) Yu, X.; Wang, W. Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 516–532. (g) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5713–5743. (h) Taylor, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1520–1543. (i) Takemoto, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 4299–4306.

⁽³⁾ For a recent example with a bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor organocatalyst, see: (a) Huang, X.; Broadbent, S.; Dvorak, C.; Zhao, S. H. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2010**, *14*, 612–616. For a recent example with a phase-transfer catalyst, see: (b) Mazzotta, S.; Gramigna, L.; Bernardi, L.; Ricci, A. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2010**, *14*, 687–691. For recent reviews of asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis in organic process research and development, see: (c) Howell, G. P. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2012**, DOI: 10.1021/op200381w. (d) Ikunaka, M. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2008**, *12*, 698–709. (e) Maruoka, K. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2008**, *12*, 679–697.

^{(4) (}a) Greenaway, K.: Dambruoso, P.: Ferrali, A.: Hazelwood, A. J.: Sladojevich, F.; Dixon, D. J. *Synthesis* **2011**, 1880–1886. (b) Jakubec, P.; Cockfield, D. M.; Hynes, P. S.; Cleator, E.; Dixon, D. J. *Tetrahedron:* Asymmetry 2011, 22, 1147-1155. (c) Kyle, A. F.; Jakubec, P.; Cockfield, D. M.; Cleator, E.; Skidmore, J.; Dixon, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10037-10039. (d) Sladojevich, F.; Trabocchi, A.; Guarna, A.; Dixon, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1710–1713. (e) Jakubec, P.; Kyle,
A. F.; Calleja, J.; Dixon, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 6094–6097. (f) Wang, Y.; Yu, D. F.; Liu, Y. Z.; Wei, H.; Luo, Y. C.; Dixon, D. J.; Xu, P. F. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 3922-3925. (g) Moss, T. A.; Alonso, B.; Fenwick, D. R.; Dixon, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 568-571. (h) Wang, Y.; Han, R. G.; Zhao, Y. L.; Yang, S.; Xu, P. F.; Dixon, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9834–9838. (i) Yang, T.; Ferrali, A.; Sladojevich, F.; Campbell, L.; Dixon, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9140-9141. (j) Jakubec, P.; Cockfield, D. M.; Dixon, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16632-16633. (k) Hynes, P. S.; Stupple, P. A.; Dixon, D. J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1389-1391. (1) Moss, T. A.; Fenwick, D. R.; Dixon, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10076-10077. (m) Rigby, C. L.; Dixon, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3798-3800. (n) Hynes, P. S.; Stranges, D.; Stupple, P. A.; Guarna, A.; Dixon, D. J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2107-210. (o) Ye, J.; Dixon, D. J.; Hynes, P. S. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4481-4483.

catalysts² (Figure 1). Both continue to attract significant attention from the research community and show potential for industrial and scale-up applications.³ However, neither class is without their limitations, a number of which we have observed during the course of our own research investigations.⁴ For example, with cinchonaderived bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor catalysis, although high levels of enantiocontrol can be observed with a range of pro-nucleophiles and electrophiles, poor reactivity can often be witnessed.^{1c,5} This is particularly apparent for high pK_a pro-nucleophiles where long reaction times, high reaction temperatures, and/or high catalyst loadings are commonly required. With APT catalysis, higher levels of reactivity can be achieved (for example, relatively nonacidic carbon-centered acids can be employed in conjunction with strong external bases). However, the levels of enantioinduction are highly dependent on the exact structure of the pro-nucleophile and/or electrophile, and small variations can result in substantial loss of enantiofacial selectivity.2b

One design concept that could simultaneously address these issues is to link a (variable) H-bond donor group to an appropriate quaternary ammonium salt via a chiral scaffold (Figure 1).⁶ Potentially, this would combine pronucleophile activation (under strong base promotion) with substrate control, preorganization, and activation and thus lead to desirable levels of reactivity and stereoselectivity in a broad range of reactions. Tactically, we envisaged that a short and effective route to such catalysts would be to alkylate the nucleophilic bridgehead nitrogen atom of cinchona-derived bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor catalysts. In turn, these would be readily prepared on scale in one step from 9-amino-9-deoxyepicinchona alkaloids. The late stage introduction of these two key catalyst features, namely the alkyl group of the quaternary ammonium salt and the H-bond donor group, would enable focused libraries of catalysts to be easily accessed, thus facilitating rapid optimization in any reaction of interest.

Herein we describe the synthesis of a new family of APT catalysts bearing ureas, amides, and sulfonamides as H-bond donor groups, and we also present an evaluation of their performance in the nitro-Mannich reaction of amidosulfones.

A library of cinchonium/H-bond donor bifunctional asymmetric phase-transfer catalysts **2**–**9** was readily formed



Figure 1. Concept and design of a new family of cinchonaderived bifunctional asymmetric phase-transfer catalysts.

by reaction of 9-amino-9-deoxyepicinchona-derived ureas, amides, and sulfonamides with benzyl bromide, p-(trifluo-romethyl)benzyl bromide, and (9-anthracenyl)methyl chloride in toluene at 65 °C for 12 h (Scheme 1).

The new family of asymmetric phase-transfer catalysts was tested in the enantioselective nitro-Mannich reaction of in situ generated *N*-Boc-protected imines of aliphatic, aromatic, and heteroaromatic aldehydes, introduced by Herrera and Bernardi,^{6e} and Palomo.^{6d} This reaction is known to proceed well under asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis conditions.⁷ However, we believed that

⁽⁵⁾ Oh, S. H.; Rho, H. S.; Lee, J. W.; Lee, J. E.; Youk, S. H.; Chin, J.; Song, C. E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 7872–7875.

⁽⁶⁾ For selected recent examples, see: (a) Wei, Y.; He, W.; Liu, Y.; Liu, P.; Zhang, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 704–707. (b) Fiandra, C. D.; Piras, L.; Fini, F.; Disetti, P.; Moccia, M.; Adamo, M. F. A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3863–3865. (c) Maciver, E. E.; Knipe, P. C.; Cridland, A. P.; Thompson, A. L.; Smith, M. D. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 537–540. For representative examples, see: (d) Ohmatsu, K.; Kiyokawa, M.; Ooi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1307–1309. (e) Gomez-Bengoa, E.; Linden, A.; López, R.; Múgica-Mendiola, I.; Oiarbide, M.; Palomo, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7955–7966. (f) Berkessel, A.; Guixá, M.; Schmidt, F.; Neudörfl, J. M.; Lex, J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4483–4498. (g) Sohtome, Y.; Takemura, N.; Iguchi, T.; Hashimoto, Y.; Nagasawa, K. Synlett 2006, 144–146. (h) Ooi, T.; Ohara, D.; Fukumoto, K.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6844–6845. (j) Manabe, K. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 14465–14476.

^{(7) (}a) Kumaraswamy, G.; Pitchaiah, A. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 2536–2541. (b) Błaszczyk, R.; Gajda, A.; Zawadzki, S.; Czubacka, E.; Gajda, T. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 9840–9848. (c) Jiang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, L.; Zhang, G.; Liu, X.; Zhang, H.; Fu, D.; Wang, R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 2096–2100. (d) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Laso, A.; López, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, *127*, 17622–17623. (e) Fini, F.; Sgarzani, V.; Pettersen, D.; Herrera, R. P.; Bernardi, L.; Ricci, A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7975–7978.

^{(8) (}a) Bai, S.; Liang, X.; Song, B.; Bhadury, P. S.; Hu, D.; Yang, S. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2011, 22, 518–523. (b) Zhang, H.; Syed, S.; Barbas, C. F., III. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 708–711. (c) Verkade, J. M. M.; van Hemert, L. J. C.; Quaedflieg, P. J. L. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 29–41. (d) Song, J.; Shih, H. W.; Deng, L. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 603–606. (e) Tillman, A. L.; Ye, J.; Dixon, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2006, 1191–1193. (f) Song, J.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6048–6049. (g) Bode, C. M.; Ting, A.; Schaus, S. E. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 11499–11505. (h) Bernardi, L.; Fini, F.; Herrera, R. P.; Ricci, A.; Sgarzani, V. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 375–380.

good stereocontrol could arise from the linked H-bond donor groups, as has been seen previously in Mannich and nitro-Mannich reactions catalyzed by bifunctional cinchona-derived (thio)ureas.⁸

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Catalyst Library



Amidosulfone **10a** was chosen as a model substrate, and its base-mediated reaction with nitromethane under solid/ liquid APT conditions with catalysts **2**–**9** was assessed for efficiency and stereocontrol (Table 1). Initially, a reactivity study was carried out using catalyst **2** in conjunction with finely ground KOH, K₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃, and CsOH with toluene as solvent. Very pleasingly at -20 °C, KOH and catalyst **2** gave the desired nitro-Mannich product (*S*)-**12a** in 67% yield and 82% ee (entry 1). Interestingly, none of the other three bases effectively promoted the reaction.

A range of cinchonidine-derived catalysts presenting variations in both the H-bond donor group and N-alkyl group were then screened in the reaction with KOH in toluene at -20 °C (Table 1). With catalyst **6**, possessing a 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzoylamide, good reactivity was observed, but enantiocontrol was diminished (58% ee, entry 5) relative to urea **2**. On the other hand, sulfonamide 7 was incompetent as a phase-transfer catalyst in this reaction (entry 6). Comparing the performance of a series of ureas with variable ammonium salts, *p*-(trifluoromethyl)benzylammonium salt **3** gave similar results to benzyl catalyst **2** (70% yield, 81% ee, entry 7), whereas catalyst **4** possessing an anthracenyl group imparted slightly diminished enantioselectivity and the product

Table 1. Nitro-Mannich Optimization with Substrate 10a



	cat.	X (%)	base	solvent	concn (M)	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield ^a (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	2	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	67	82
2	2	10	K_2CO_3	toluene	0.1	-20	34	nd^c	
3	2	10	Cs_2CO_3	toluene	0.1	-20	34	nd^c	
4	2	10	CsOH	toluene	0.1	-20	13	nd^c	
5	6	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	78	58
6	7	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	nd^c	
7	3	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	70	81
8	4	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	53	71
9	8	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	78	85^d
10	5	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	59	80
11	9	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	91	85^d
12	9	10	KOH	toluene	0.1	-40	40	71	84^d
13	9	10	KOH	toluene	0.05	-20	12	79	85^d
14	9	10	KOH	toluene	0.2	-20	12	77	85^d
15	9	5	KOH	toluene	0.1	-20	12	72	85^d
16	9	5	KOH	EtOAc	0.1	-20	12	78	80^d
17	9	5	KOH	CH_2Cl_2	0.1	-20	12	73	84^d
18	9	5	KOH	tol/CHCl ₃	0.1	-20	12	35	86^d
19	9	5	KOH	TBME	0.1	-20	12	79	$89^{d,e}$
20	5	5	KOH	TBME	0.1	-20	24	66	84

^{*a*} Isolated yield. ^{*b*} Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC. ^{*c*} Reaction did not proceed or proceeded very slowly to **12a**. ^{*d*} Enantiomeric (*R*)-**12a** was obtained. ^{*e*} The *R* configuration of (*R*)-**12a** was established through comparison of its specific rotation with literature data; see the Supporting Information.

was obtained in 53% yield (entry 8). From the above results, it was concluded, at this stage, that for maximum selectivity the combination of a urea at the 9-position of the 9-amino-9-deoxyepicinchonidine scaffold and an N-benzyl group on the bridgehead nitrogen were required. Importantly, a simple cross-check using commerical N-benzylcinchonidinium bromide as the catalyst, under identical reaction conditions, afforded the enantiomeric product in substantially reduced yield and with poor stereocontrol [(R)-12a was obtained in 34% ee and 29% yield]. This result confirmed that the urea functionality was playing a key role in controlling the stereochemical course of the reaction. The related cinchonine-, quinine- and quinidinederived catalysts 8, 5, and 9, respectively, were then subjected to the reaction conditions and, pleasingly, gave rise to good reactivity and similar levels of control across the series (entries 9-11). Quinidine derived catalyst 9 narrowly out-performed the others and was therefore selected as the catalyst of choice for the remainder of the optimization studies. Lowering the temperature of the reaction to -40 °C had no beneficial effect on enantiocontrol but did significantly decrease the reaction rate (entry 12). Neither concentrating nor diluting the reaction mixture, nor reducing the catalyst loading to 5 mol %, deleteriously affected

the enantioselectivity (entries 13–15). Finally, a solvent screen (entries 15–19) revealed TBME as the solvent of choice and the optimal conditions employed KOH (5 equiv) as base and 5 mol % catalyst 9 at -20 °C for 12 h; (*R*)-12a was obtained in 79% yield and 89% ee (entry 19). Under the fully optimized conditions but using pseudo-enantiomeric catalyst 5, the enantiomeric product (*S*)-12a was obtained in 66% yield and 84% ee (entry 20).

Table 2.	Scope of	the	Nitro-Mannich	Reaction
----------	----------	-----	---------------	----------

	Boc _{NH} R ¹ SO ₂ Ph * 10			0 ₂ N	9 (5 mol %) KOH (5 equiv) TBME, −20 °C 12 h			Boc NH R ¹ NO ₂ 12		
	10	\mathbb{R}^1	11	\mathbb{R}^2		12	yield ^a (%)	dr anti: syn ^b	ee _{anti} (%)	
1	b	p-Me-Ph	a	Н		b	64		91	
2	с	$p ext{-OMe-Ph}$	a	Η		с	84		90	
3	d	$p ext{-} ext{F-} ext{Ph}$	a	Η		d	65		90	
4	е	$p ext{-}\mathrm{CF}_3 ext{-}\mathrm{Ph}$	a	Н		e	65		91	
5	f	2-naphthyl	a	Н		f	87		92	
6	g	3-pyridyl	a	Η		g	73		92	
7	a	Ph	b	Me		h	83	24:1	94	
8	a	Ph	с	\mathbf{Et}		i	94	17:1	92	
9	a	Ph	d	$CH_2CH=$	CH_2	j	64	6:1	95	
10	\mathbf{h}^d	<i>tert</i> -butyl	a	Н		k	63		90	
11	i	cyclohexyl	a	Η		1	100		84	
12	i	cyclohexyl	b	Me		m	75	9:1	95	
13	i	cyclohexyl	d	$CH_2CH=$	CH_2	n	82	11:1	93	
14	j	iso-butyl	b	Me		0	100	$13:1^{e}$	90	

^{*a*} Isolated yield. ^{*b*} Determined by ¹H NMR or chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC. ^{*d*} Toluenesulfinic acid-derived amidosulfone was used. ^{*e*} See the Supporting Information for proof of absolute and relative stereochemistry.

With optimal conditions in hand, the scope of the reaction with respect to the amidosulfone was surveyed (Table 2). A variety of amidosulfones derived from aromatic aldehydes proved effective, with substitution in the para position being well-tolerated with excellent enantio-selectivities observed with both electron-rich (90–91% ee, entries 1 and 2) and electron-poor (90–91% ee, entries 3 and 4) aromatics. Polycyclic aromatic and heteroaromatic amidosulfones also proved effective, with 2-naphthyl and 3-pyridinyl amidosulfones both giving their respective products in 92% ee (entries 5 and 6). Next, we set out to assess the generality of the reaction with other nitroalkane pro-nucleophiles. Pleasingly, the reaction of phenyl amidosulfone 10a with nitroethane proceeded smoothly to

give product **12h** in 83% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (24:1 *anti:syn*) and enhanced enantioselectivity (94% ee, entry 7) relative to the analogous experiment with nitromethane. This same enhancement was observed with other substituted nitroalkanes such as nitropropane (92% ee, 17:1 dr, entry 8) and 4-nitrobut-1-ene (95% ee, 6:1 dr, entry 9).

Finally, we investigated the performance of amidosulfones derived from aliphatic aldehydes. Good results were obtained with sterically hindered amidosulfones; *tert*-butyl amidosulfone **10h** yielded the expected product with excellent enantiocontrol (90% ee, entry 10). Less hindered aliphatic amidosulfones such as cyclohexyl amidosulfone **10i** led to a slight decrease in enantioinduction (84% ee), but as with aromatic amidosulfones, an enhancement in enantiocontrol was also observed when larger nitroalkanes were used. The reactions of **10i** with nitroethane (95% ee, entry 12) and 4-nitrobut-1-ene (93% ee, entry 13) proceeded with excellent enantioselectivity and good diastereoselectivity.

In summary, we have developed a new family of cinchonium/H-bond donor bifunctional asymmetric phasetransfer catalysts. Their straightforward two-stage synthesis from 9-amino-9-deoxyepicinchona alkaloids readily allows variation of both the alkyl group of the quaternary ammonium salt and the H-bond donor group and thus rapid access to focused libraries of novel catalysts. These catalysts combine the good reactivity profile of previously reported asymmetric phase-transfer catalysts with the tunable stereocontrol of bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor catalysts. We have also successfully demonstrated the application of this new family of catalysts to the enantioand diastereoselective nitro-Mannich reaction of in situ generated N-Boc-protected imines of aliphatic, aromatic, and heteroaromatic aldehydes. Other applications of catalysts 2-9, and their analogues, are under active investigation in our laboratories and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC (Leadership Fellowship to D.J.D., postdoctoral fellowship to F.S., and studentship to D.M.B.), the EC [IEF to F.S. (PIEF-GA-2009-254068) and to M.G.N. (PIEF-GA-2009-254637)], AstraZeneca (studentship to D.M.B.), the NSERC of Canada (postgraduate scholarship to K.M.J.), the Rhodes Trust (postgraduate award to K.M.J.), and the University of Oxford Clarendon Fund (studentship to A.M.G).

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and spectral data for compounds 1c, 2–9, 10h, and 12a–o. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.